

MISSION STATEMENT: "The City of Mound, through teamwork and cooperation, provides, at a reasonable cost, quality services that respond to the needs of all citizens, fostering a safe, attractive and flourishing community." "The Parks and Open Space Commission is an advisory body to the City Council. One of the Commission's functions is to hold public hearings and make recommendations to the City Council. The City Council makes all final decisions on these matters. Mound Ordinances require that certain documents and information be included in applications. The Parks and Open Space Commission may postpone consideration of an application that is incomplete and may for other reasons postpone final action on an application. For each agenda item, the Commission will receive reports prepared by the City Staff, open the hearing to the public, and discuss and act on the application."

NOTE: COMISSIONERS WILL BE CONTACTED BY STAFF PRIOR TO THE MEETINGS TO ASSESS ATTENDANCE. FAILURE TO RESPOND BY NOON ON THE DAY OF THE SCHEDULED MEETING WILL BE CONSIDERED AN ABSENCE AND MAY RESULT IN CANCELLATION OF THE MEETING DUE TO LACK OF A QUORUM. (952-472-0603 or admin@cityofmound.com)

PARKS AND OPEN SPACE COMMISSION

AGENDA

7:00 P.M. Regular Meeting
City Council Chambers
5341 Maywood Road, Mound, MN
Thursday, December 12, 2024

	Page
1. Call to Order by Chair, Mark Stehlik	
2. Roll Call	
3. Approval of Agenda	
4. Approve minutes from 11-14-2024 Meeting	1
5. Comments and Suggestions from Citizens Present (No more than 3 minutes allowed per speaker)	
6. 2025 Park Project: Chester Park – Discussion with Neighbors	5
7. Tyler Pieper – Edgewater Park – Native Plan Garden Expansion	7
8. Project Updates	16
9. Reports: Staff Updates City Council Representative Commissioner Comments	
10. Next Meeting: January 9, 2025 Tentative Discussion Items: A. 2025 POSC Projects and Goals B. Parks and Open Space List – Further Review and Discussion C. POSC Applicant Interviews	
11. Adjourn	

PARKS AND OPEN SPACE COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES
November 14, 2024

The Mound Parks and Open Spaces Commission met on Thursday, November 14, 2024, at 7:00 pm in the Council Chambers at the Centennial Building.

Present: Chair Mark Stehlik, and Commissioners Joanna Kahn, Travis Mills, Kim Blievernicht, and City Council Representative Sherrie Pugh.
Absent: None.
Others Present: Deputy City Manager, Maggie Reisdorf.
Public Present: None.

1. **Call to Order**

The meeting was called to order by Stehlik Kahn at 7:05pm.

2. **Roll Call**

Present: Chair Stehlik, Commissioners Mills, Blievernicht, and Kahn, and City Council Representative Pugh.

Absent: None.

3. **Approval of Agenda**

Motion by Blievernicht to approve the agenda, second by Mills; Motion carried 5-0.

4. **Approve Minutes from 10-10-24 Meeting**

Motion by Pugh to approve the minutes, second by Mills. Motion carried 3-0-2 (Stehlik and Blievernicht abstained due to not attending that meeting).

5. **Comments and Suggestions from Citizens Present**

NA.

6. **2025 Park Projects and Goals**

Reisdorf introduced this item to the Commission. She explained that within the packet was a list of priorities and goals that the POSC had been working off of in the past and most recently in 2023. Reisdorf asked the Commissioners to review. Reisdorf said the goal by 2025 would be to update the list by crossing off what has been completed, and adding new items.

Reisdorf talked about one of the 2025 park improvement projects being at Chester Park. She stated that at the previous POSC meeting, Commissioners requested that letters be sent to the neighboring properties informing of the upcoming improvements and inviting them to the December POSC meeting to provide them more information on the project and get their insight.

Kahn talked about how the current park is not ADA accessible, specifically where the current playground sits. She explained that sand around playgrounds does not meet the ADA requirements. She stated that when playground improvements occur, it triggers, for City parks, the need to get park spaces into compliance with ADA standards. She said she supported keeping a sand beach down by the water. She said it would be great to get comments from the neighboring properties.

Blievernicht stated that she sees kids wading in the water all the time at the park.

Stehlik agreed that it is not a beach where swimming occurs, but that many people wade in the water.

Kahn stated that getting input from neighboring properties allows them to participate in planning the space and gives them ownership within the decision making process.

Stehlik agreed and stated that the POSC has done this in the past as well with other park spaces. He said that some people may not care to participate, but some may. He said that some may have really great ideas. Stehlik said that it is good to attempt to connect with people and get their input.

Pugh mentioned that there is a tar pathway that encroaches on the City property from the neighboring property and that there may have to be some discussion on that.

Stehlik stated mentioned that the neighboring business, Al and Almas, has adopted that park and takes care of it. He said they are doing a great job taking care of the park.

Stehlik confirmed that staff would send out letters to neighboring properties, including Al and Almas, to invite them to the December meeting to start the discussion on the Chester Park park improvements in 2025.

Reisdorf asked that the Commissioners continue to review the list of past goals and priorities and that it will be brought back to the December meeting for further review and refinement.

Pugh mentioned that the Dutch Lake fishing pier project was completed in 2024. She informed that there was originally concerns about possible teenager activity that could occur with the project. She stated that she has not heard of any complaints since the project was completed. She said that the newly improvement crossings for the Dakota Trail in 2024 have been well received.

Reisdorf explained that the Depot Building is scheduled to have 2025 some improvements done and that she would report back the specifics on those improvements at the December meeting.

Kahn asked if staff could put together and inventory of the picnic tables and schedule the replacement of the tables over time. She said they are not very welcoming.

Reisdorf agreed to talk to the Public Works Director.

The commissioners talked about low maintenance options.

7. Parks and Open Space List – Review

Kahn initiated the conversational on this. The commissioners talked about the current Adopt a Park informational sheet and application that listed the current adoptable spaces and decided that it needed to be “cleaned up” and some of the outdated spaces eliminated.

There was discussion about historical sites that used to have flower boxes and don’t anymore. There was also discussion about flower boxes and spaces that the Public Works Department currently manages. The commission asked that those be removed from the list of adoptable spaces.

Kahn recommended that parks that have flower boxes be adopted as a combination instead of separately. Mills and Blievernicht agreed.

Stehlik mentioned that a neighboring city has a garden club and if there was a local similar group in Mound that could adopt high profile areas.

Kahn said that there is a group currently that adopts areas within the downtown near some of the restaurants and the post office.

Kahn mentioned that there is a park called Bluffs Park that is very difficult to locate as it is an empty lot and not marked. She said that it is an open space and not a park. She said she didn’t even know it existed.

Mills stated that it is indeed an empty lot that the City sometimes uses for snow removal.

Stehlik said that the City of Mound has several of these types of open spaces throughout the City for snow removal activity. He stated that the City mows and maintains them, but that these open spaces do not have amenities and that no one would know it is a City owned lot.

Mills stated that it shouldn't be listed as a City park if it has no amenities.

Stehlik said that the City should either remove it as a listed park or post a sign identifying it as a park.

Mills said that he didn't see a point in placing a sign there.

Kahn agreed.

Mills stated that the commissioners should go visit before the December meeting.

Stehlik agreed for everyone to go visit and then they could discuss the future of the site more at the December meeting.

8. Reports

Reisdorf informed that the City is getting closer to completing its new website. She said that with the new website, she hopes to be able to clean up a lot of the outdated information and specifically revamp the parks informational pages.

Stehlik mentioned that he would be stepping down from the POSC at the end of his term at the end of the year. He said that it has been a wonderful seven years serving and that he appreciated working with everyone. He informed that life has gotten busy and that has been harder to commit and that he would only want to commit if he could give 100%. The other commissioners thanked Stehlik for his years of service on the Commission.

Reisdorf said that staff posted for vacancies on the POSC and Planning Commission. She said that the POSC would be hopefully interviewing to fill the vacancy in December.

Pugh stated that the holiday wreaths have been installed on the City streetlight posts and that they look great. She informed of a recent open house at the Artesa Co-op Development in downtown Mound. She said that the building is beautiful with many amenities. She informed that many of the residents are excited about the new Lost Lake Commons Park. She informed that the Farmers Market is down for the season and that they are excited about the new park as well. Pugh mentioned the new residential development going in called the Lake Minnetonka Flats.

Stehlik asked if there would be a way for the City to create a better map on the new website that would allow visitors to find a park space and now which amenities are there.

Reisdorf said she would reach out to the City Engineer regarding GIS mapping and if there would be potential to have something more interactive for parks.

Pugh mentioned that the Three Rivers Park District recently purchased 17 acres of land near Commerce Blvd and Dutch Lake. She stated that she is thinking of reaching out to the District to see what their plans are for that space. She said it was a beautiful piece of land. Pugh thought it would be great for a representative to attend a future meeting.

Pugh mentioned the desire to expand the Andrews Sisters Trail and prioritizing other trail expansion opportunities.

Pugh mentioned that the City Council continues to work on obtaining funding through the Minnesota Legislature for the Water Treatment Plant project.

Stehlik mentioned that the old dentist office in downtown Mound was torn down.

Pugh explained that the current owner's intention was to rehabilitate the building, but that those plans fell through. She said that the building was then torn down with intention for redevelopment at some point.

9. **Adjourn**

Mills moved to adjourn the meeting at 8:08 pm. Kahn seconded. Motion carried 5-0.

CITY OF MOUND
2415 Wilshire Blvd
Mound, MN 55364

TO: Parks and Open Spaces Commission (POSC)

FROM: Maggie Reisdorf, Deputy City Manager

DATE: December 6, 2024

SUBJECT: 2025 Park Project: Chester Park – Discussion with Neighbors

BACKGROUND:

The City of Mound's Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) has Chester Park listed as a park project for 2025. The park currently has an old play structure that needs replacement. Upon replacing the play structure, additional accessibility updates will be required with the project as well.

In November 2024, staff sent a letter out to property owners within 350 feet of Chester Park inviting them to attend the December POSC meeting to discuss and share ideas about the park and potential improvements.

This information will be used and taken into consideration by the POSC as they define the scope of the project and make a final recommendation to the City Council for desired improvements.

Attachments:

Letter invite sent property owners near Chester Park.

November 18, 2024

RE: 2025 Chester Park Project

Dear Mound Property Owner,

The City of Mound Parks and Open Spaces Commission (POSC) is inviting you to a community engagement event to gather input on the future of Chester Park. You are invited to share your ideas and vision for the park at the POSC meeting on December 12, 2024 at 7:00 pm. The meeting will be held in the Mound City Council Chambers located at the Centennial Building (5341 Maywood Road).

The event will provide an opportunity for the neighboring property owners to work with City POSC and City staff in developing a concept plan for Chester Park, which is scheduled for improvements in 2025. The City is seeking feedback on desired amenities and improvements.

Feedback gathered during the meeting will be used to create concept plans for further community review and input at additional future meetings.

The POSC is looking forward to connecting and collaborating with you on this project.

For questions, please contact me at 952-472-0633 or maggiereisdorf@cityofmound.com.

Sincerely,

Maggie Reisdorf
Deputy City Manager
City of Mound

CITY OF MOUND
2415 Wilshire Blvd
Mound, MN 55364

TO: Parks and Open Spaces Commission (POSC)
FROM: Maggie Reisdorf, Deputy City Manager
DATE: December 6, 2024
SUBJECT: Belmont Park – Native Garden Expansion

REQUEST:

To receive a presentation update from Tyler Pieper on the Edgewater Park – Native Garden Project in 2025.

BACKGROUND:

There are some city requested tasks and duties.

In 2024, Tyler Pieper presented to the POSC regarding the expansion of a previously approved project at Belmont Park. The project was approved. This project included the planting of wildflowers within the park and has received positive community feedback, increased habitat and overall appearance.

For 2025, Pieper is asking for support on another similar project; this time at Edgewater Park. Pieper is looking to apply for a grant to help finance the project. The grant is called the “Hennepin County Good Steward Grant”. He stated that he would complete the application and submit it, with the hope that if received, it would cover about 75% of the project cost.

The application for the grant is due on January 23, 2025.

Pieper will be presenting more on the project at this meeting.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff is recommending listening to the presentation and providing feedback on the project.

ATTACHMENTS:

2025 Hennepin County Good Stewart Grant Information Package.

HENNEPIN COUNTY

MINNESOTA

Solicitation for Grant Applications

Natural Resources Good Steward Grants

Virtual Pre-Application Workshop: Thursday, November 14, 2024, at 4:00 PM (CST)

Closing date for all questions: Tuesday, January 21, 2025, at 4:00 PM (CST)

Send questions to Ellen Sones (ellen.sones@hennepin.us) and Sarah Montgomery (sarah.montgomery@hennepin.us).

Responses Due by: Thursday, January 23, 2025, at 4:00 PM (CST)



1 Introduction

1.1 Project overview

The County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota ("County") is soliciting applications for the Good Steward Grant (the "Grant"). As used herein, the entity/individual responsible for any awarded Grant may be referred to as the "Applicant" and the Applicant's response to this solicitation (the "Solicitation") may be referred to as the "Application".

Hennepin County Environment and Energy works to protect water, land, and air to conserve our natural resources for future generations. Through Good Steward Grants, Hennepin County supports landowners, businesses, government agencies, and organizations with matching grants to implement conservation practices to preserve and restore critical habitats, reduce erosion, protect groundwater, and improve water quality.

1.2 The Grant

Good Steward Grants support the planning and installation of conservation practices with one or more of the following primary purposes:

- Reduce erosion and sedimentation;
- Improve water quality;
- Protect groundwater quality or reduce groundwater use; or
- Preserve, restore, or establish critical habitat

These include, but are not limited to, new installations of:

- Stormwater management projects such as rain gardens, stormwater ponds and benches, stormwater reuse systems, infiltration or filtration basins, permeable pavement, grass swales, or water and sediment control basins.
- Habitat restoration projects such as woodland and prairie restorations or riverbank or lake shoreline restorations
- Water quality improvement projects like lake alum treatments

This list is provided for example purposes. Please contact Hennepin County staff if your project is not on this list but meets any of the primary purposes listed above.

In addition, the grant may only fund conservation practices which meet the following criteria:

- All practices must be designed and maintained for a specified time period. The minimum effective life will vary with practice type but will be no less than 10 years from the final payment.
- Grant funds cannot be used for practices designed only to increase land productivity.
- All practices must be installed within the county's geographic boundary and must be consistent with industry design standards (e.g. Natural Resource Conservation Service Field Office Technical Guide or the MN Stormwater Manual). Novel and emerging conservation practices are welcomed, but the application should clearly explain why that practice choice is most appropriate for the project.

If a project meets each of these criteria, funding is available to share the costs of project implementation with eligible participants.

- The grant amount provided shall not exceed 75% of the total eligible project cost.
- The landowner/participant is responsible for contributing the remaining 25% of the cost of the project installation.
- Landowner/participant costs must be in cash and/or in-kind contributions.

Grant amount will be based on the work plan and budget submitted by the applicant. **The total grant request may not exceed \$25,000.** Typical grant awards range from \$10,000-\$20,000.

1.2 Applicant and Project Eligibility

To be eligible for the Grant, the applicant must be one of the following:

- Local, state, or regional government agencies
- Non-profit organizations
- Landowners: citizens or business owners

The applicant must also meet the following reporting requirements to receive reimbursement for project expenses during design, installation, and project closeout phases.

- Prior to project installation, the following must be provided to and approved by the County
 - Work plan and budget, including any in-kind contributions from the applicant
 - Project design and specifications prior to work
- During and/or following project installation
 - All invoices for consultant and/or contractor work
 - Certification that the project was installed according to the approved plans and specifications
 - Operation and maintenance plans covering the life of the practice

Grant funds are eligible for the following uses:

- Project development costs such as environmental/engineering consulting fees
- Project installation costs such as for materials, labor, and pre- and post-construction inspection of the conservation practices
- Costs related to the development of an operations and maintenance plan, education and outreach, or community engagement.

Any of these costs may also be used as cash or in-kind match to meet the cost-share requirements of this grant.

A list of previously awarded grants can be found on the [environmental grants Storymap](#).

1.3 Grant Application

When submitting an Application, Applicants must follow the specific format and content requirements below and in **Attachment 1**. Failure to do so will likely prolong the evaluation process and/or may be grounds for rejection of the Application.

Applicant shall describe, in detail, the proposed uses of the Grant funds for the Project. **Any other use of Grant funds is strictly prohibited.** Applicant shall be solely responsible for securing all additional funds necessary for completion of the Project.

Applicant agrees to only use the Grant funds for the uses indicated above. Use of Grant funds for any other purpose is strictly prohibited. If Applicant uses Grant funds for any other purpose, Applicant shall, upon County's written demand, repay all Grant funds awarded.

2 Evaluation and selection

2.1 Applicant evaluation and recommendation for selection

County will consider the Application to determine whether it meets County's requirements and is otherwise eligible for a Grant award. Submission of an Application shall neither obligate nor entitle an Applicant to enter into a contract with the County or to receive a Grant.

2.2 Evaluation of responsiveness

The County will consider all the material submitted by the Applicant to determine whether the Applicant's offer is in compliance with the terms and conditions set forth in this Solicitation. Applications that do not comply with the provisions in this Solicitation may be considered nonresponsive and may be rejected. Notwithstanding the foregoing, County expressly reserves the right to reject any or all Applications with or without cause.

Application questions are listed in **Attachment 1** and include the percentage weights that will be applied to applicant responses to each question. Applicants should expect that responses will be evaluated based on the quality of the responses as well as:

- Ability of the practice to directly address a severe erosion, water quality, and/or habitat loss concern
- The number of partners and local commitment to the project, either financial or in-kind
- Educational components associated with the project
- Funds leveraged for the project
- Direct relationship to a TMDL (Total Maximum Daily Load) impairment reduction
- Identification of the project as a priority by a local, state, or federal unit of government that manages natural and/or water resources
- Capacity and commitment of the organization to implement project and meet program obligations
- Ability of project to address climate and disparity reduction impacts

Hennepin County is interested in supporting projects throughout the county. Geographic location of projects may be considered to maximize the reach of the natural resources Good Steward Grant program.

The County reserves the right to determine whether any aspect of the Application satisfactorily meets the criteria, the right to seek clarification or additional information from any Applicant(s), and the right to waive any irregularities or informalities that the County deems is in its best interest.

2.3 Execution of Grant agreement

County may condition the Grant award upon Applicant's execution of a Grant agreement provided by County. As applicable, the Grant agreement shall define the legal relationship between County and Applicant.

3 General rules

3.1 Solicitation for Grant Applications and Grant overview

This Solicitation for Grant Applications is an invitation for Applicants to submit a Grant Application to the County. It is a means by which the County may facilitate the acquisition of information related to awarding the Grant and is **NOT A COMMITMENT OR OBLIGATION TO AWARD ANY AMOUNT.**

Nothing in this Application shall obligate County to (i) award any amount; (ii) award any subsequent grants; or (iii) pay or otherwise make any additional distributions.

3.2 Estimated timeline and extension of time

January 30 – February 14, 2025: Application review and evaluation by Good Steward Grant review panel

February 17 - 21: Review panel meets to discuss applications. Any questions for applicants will be gathered and provided back to applicants February 24 – February 27.

February 24 – 28: Applicants provided opportunity to answer any questions on their application.

March 3 - 7: Review panel meets again to produce project funding recommendation.

June or July 2025: County Board of Commissioners reviews funding recommendations and approves or modifies recommendations.

These dates are subject to revision or cancellation by the County in its sole and absolute discretion. No project work, for which the applicant is requesting to use Grant Funds, may be incurred prior to Board of Commissioners approval, anticipated in June or July 2025.

3.3 Application submission

Applications will be received in the [Hennepin County Supplier Portal](#). In order to submit an Application, you must first register with the Supplier Portal. For more information on how to register, please go to the [Supplier Portal help page](#). Applicants are strongly encouraged to make their submissions well in advance of the Application due date as the process may take some time to complete.

Failure to submit an Application on time may be grounds for rejection of the Application; however, the County reserves the right, in its sole and absolute discretion, to accept Applications after the Application due date.

3.4 Questions and Pre-application Assistance

Prospective Applicants are invited to contact the county for feedback on project ideas before applying. For more information visit the [Good Steward Grant webpage](#) or contact Ellen Sones (612-596-1173; Ellen.Sones@hennepin.us). You can also see examples of recently funded projects on the Hennepin County [Environmental Grants Storymap](#).

Prospective applicants are encouraged to attend a virtual informational meeting on:

Virtual Pre-Application Workshop is scheduled for **Thursday, November 14, 2024, at 4:00 PM (CST)**, **RSVP is required to attend the Virtual Pre-Application Workshop**. Please send your RSVP to Ellen Sones, by email: Ellen.Sones@hennepin.us to receive the invitation at least 1 hour prior to the meeting. Attendance to the Virtual Pre-Application Workshop is not mandatory or required to apply to the solicitation.

3.5 Addenda

The County reserves the right to modify this Solicitation at any time prior to the Application due date. An addendum will be posted in the Supplier Portal if the Solicitation is modified. Addenda may be viewed by clicking on the 'View Documents' on the Event Details page. It is the responsibility of each prospective Applicant to assure receipt of all addenda.

The County will modify the Solicitation only by formal written addenda. Applications should be based on this Solicitation document and any formal written addenda. Applicants should not rely on oral statements, or site visits.

3.6 County's right to withdraw, cancel, suspend and/or modify the Solicitation

The County reserves the right to withdraw, cancel, suspend, and/or modify this Solicitation for any reason and at any time with no liability to any prospective Applicant for any costs or expenses incurred in connection with the Solicitation or otherwise.

3.7 Applicant's right to edit or cancel an Application

An Application may be edited or cancelled in the Supplier Portal prior to the Application due date. For instructions, view the Edit or Cancel a Response section of the [How to Respond to an Event Guide](#).

3.8 Applications will not be returned

Upon submission, Applications will not be returned.

3.9 Public disclosure of Application documents

Under Minnesota law, applications are private or nonpublic until the proposals are opened on the proposal due date. Once the applications are opened, the name of the Applicant becomes public. All other data in the application is private/nonpublic data until completion of the evaluation process. The evaluation process is completed when the County enters into a contract with an Applicant. At that time, all remaining data submitted by all Applicants is public with the exception of data classified as private/non-public trade secret data under Minn. Stat. § 13.37 of the Minnesota Government Data Practices Act.

Applicants must not submit trade secret material, as defined by Minn. Stat. § 13.37, as part of their application. The County does NOT consider cost or prices to be trade secret material, as defined by the statute. Applicants may present and discuss trade secret information during an interview or demonstration, if applicable.

The Applicant agrees, as a condition of submitting its Application, that the County will not, as between the parties, be liable or accountable for any loss or damage which may result from a breach of confidentiality related to the Application. The Applicant agrees to indemnify and hold the County, its officials, agents, and employees harmless from all claims arising out of, resulting from, or in any manner attributable to any violation of any provision of the Minnesota Government Data Practices Act, including legal fees and

disbursements paid or incurred to enforce this provision. This indemnification survives the County's award of a contract. In submitting an application in response to this Solicitation, the Applicant agrees that this indemnification survives as long as the Application is in the County's possession. The County is required to keep all the basic documents related to its contracts, including applications, for a minimum of seven years.

3.10 Applicant's costs

The County shall not be responsible for any costs incurred by Applicant in relation to this Solicitation. Applicant shall bear all costs of Application preparation, submission, and attendance at interviews, or any other activity associated with this Solicitation or otherwise.

3.11 Conflict of interest

The Applicant affirms that to the best of its knowledge the submission of its Application, or any resulting Grant award, does not present an actual or perceived conflict of interest. Strictly for illustration purposes, a personal relationship with a County employee directly or indirectly involved in the Grant process may be a conflict of interest.

The Applicant agrees that should any actual or perceived conflict of interest become known, it will immediately notify the County and will advise whether it will or will not avoid, mitigate, or neutralize the conflict of interest.

The County may make reasonable efforts to avoid, mitigate, or neutralize a conflict of interest by an Applicant. To avoid a conflict of interest by an Applicant, the County may utilize methods including disqualifying an Applicant from eligibility for the Grant or cancelling the Grant if the conflict is discovered after the Grant has been issued. The County may, at its sole and absolute discretion, waive any conflict of interest.

4 Attachments

4.1 Attachment 1 – Application Format and Content

4.2 Attachment 2 – Sample contract agreement

CITY OF MOUND
2415 Wilshire Blvd
Mound, MN 55364

TO: Parks and Open Spaces Commission

FROM: Maggie Reisdorf, Deputy City Manager

DATE: December 6, 2024

SUBJECT: General Project Updates

BACKGROUND:

Lost Lake Commons:

The POSC will start discussing Phase II of this project in early 2025.

Wayfinding Signage:

This will be part of the discussion with the Phase II Lost Lake Commons review.

Surfside Park: Depot Building

In 2025, the City is planning some updates to the Depot Building at Surfside Park. This will include the replacement of the furnace, Air Conditioning, Ceiling Fans, and eventually the outside decking and inside kitchen area. All of these improvements may take a couple of years to complete.

Park Picnic Tables:

Per the request of the POSC, staff is doing an inventory of the City's park picnic tables as a way to put together a long-term capital replacement plan that staggers the replacement of the benches with low maintenance benches. Many of the current park benches are wooden and breaking down.

GIS Park Map for New Website:

The POSC asked if the City/City Contractors had the ability to create an online interactive map for the parks and open spaces in Mound. The City Engineer created an interactive map within the City's GIS system. The goal will be to put this on the City's new website that is projected to go live in early 2025.

<https://moundmn.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=3da6ac50d0414798b73f868314134be1>

RECOMMENDATION:

Informational Only.

ATTACHMENTS:

None.